

Tetrahedron Letters 46 (2005) 5439-5442

Tetrahedron Letters

Highly regio- and stereocontrolled brominations of gem-difluorinated vinyloxiranes

Hisanori Ueki and Tomoya Kitazume*

Graduate School of Bioscience and Bioengineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan

Received 27 May 2005; revised 15 June 2005; accepted 16 June 2005 Available online 6 July 2005

Abstract—*gem*-Difluorinated vinyloxiranes, which are useful synthetic intermediates for difluorinated compounds, were brominated regio- and stereoselectively. Introduction of bromide at the allylic epoxide carbon with inversion of stereochemistry was realized by MgBr₂:Et₂O to furnish an *anti vic*-bromohydrine, whereas the reaction with LiBr/AcOH afforded the other diastereomer selectively. Moreover, both reactions at high temperature allowed to obtain, the thermodynamically favored products, *E*-allylic alcohols dominantly.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Exploration of synthetic methods for fluorinated organic compounds with a high level of selectivity is one of the quite important issues because of their significant utilization in many fields. Among fluorinated organic molecules, the incorporation of the CF_2 -X (X = Br and Cl) moiety in an allylic position of intermediate synthons is one of the potent tools for the construction of more elaborate molecules. For instance, the gem-difluoroallylic metal species, easily derived from them by treatment with alkyllithium,² zinc,³ or indium,⁴ are well-known to react with carbonyl compounds regioselectively. On the other hand, S_N2 or S_N2' nucleophilic substitutions,⁵ radical reactions, and other reactions of them are also well-established methods to prepare difluorinated molecules including biologically active compounds. However, general methods to synthesize compounds containing bromo- or chlorodifluoromethyl allylic group have not yet been investigated in detail. For instance, preparations of them by Wittg-type olefination sometimes encounter serious disadvantage in terms of olefinic stereochemistry. Tellier et al. reported stereoselective synthesis of such compounds by way of S_N2' reactions with thionyl bromide or chloride, but in their case the substitution of olefins are restricted; especially only one example of tri-substituted olefin is reported.⁸

Keywords : Bromination; $S_N 2^\prime$ reaction; $S_N 2$ reaction; Inversion; Retention.

Recently, we have reported regio- and stereocontrolled constructions of difluorinated compounds by utilization of selective alkylations of readily available *gem*-difluorinated vinyloxiranes 1 (Scheme 1). For instance, a hard nucleophile like RLi reacted at the terminal-fluorine-attached carbon selectively via an S_N2' pathway to afford the corresponding allylic alcohols with good to excellent *E* selectivity. On the other hand, a regioselective alkylation with retention of stereochemistry at the allylic epoxide carbon was observed by an ambiphilic reagent AlR₃, while cuprates, prepared from CuCl and RMgBr in a ratio 1:3, introduced alkyl groups with inversion of stereochemistry at the same carbon. Furthermore, very recently, we reported highly regioand stereocontrolled reductions of them depending on

Scheme 1.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 45 924 5754; fax: +81 45 924 5780; e-mail: tkitazum@bio.titech.ac.jp

Scheme 2.

 $R^1 = H, R^2 = Ph$: (e), $R^1 = H, R^2 = 2$ -phenylethyl: (f)

Scheme 3

Yields and ratios were determined by 19 F NMR.

Scheme 4.

the employed reagents (Scheme 2).¹⁰ Thus, we turned our attention to the synthesis of useful synthon 2 by selective bromination of 1 (Scheme 3). In this letter, regio- and stereoselective brominations of *gem*-difluorinated vinyloxiranes 1 are described.

In the series of investigation of selective alkylations of 1, we found that the reaction of 1a with MeMgBr in Et₂O resulted in a complex mixture including brominated compounds 2a, 3a, and 4a (Scheme 4). In situ generated MgBr₂ from Schlenk equilibrium of MeMgBr probably acted as a brominating reagent to afford such products.

Thus, at first, we treated **1a** with MgBr₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (Table 1, entry 1), and the anticipated brominated products **2a** and **4a** were obtained but low conversion was recorded; the recovery of **1a** was 64%. While complete consumption of **1a** was observed with MgBr₂·Et₂O to afford the desired **2a** in moderate yield (entry 2). Main reason responsible for the low yield is rearrangements¹¹ accompanied in the main process. Further investigation proved out that Lewis basic solvent effectively suppressed such undesired rearrangements and increased

Table 1. Site and stereoselective bromination of **1a** with 2.0 equiv of brominating reagent at 0 °C for 1–1.5 h

Entry	Reagent	Solvent	Yield ^a (%)		E/Z of $2a^a$		
			2a	3a	4a		
1	MgBr ₂	CH ₂ Cl ₂	27	<1	12	84/16	
2	MgBr ₂ ·Et ₂ O	CH_2Cl_2	51	1	1	66/34	
3		CH ₃ CN	1	99	1	>99/<1	
4 ^b			89	<1	<1	98/2	
5°			>99	<1	<1	97/3	
6 ^d	LiBr/AcOH	CH_2Cl_2	5	4	91	56/44	
7 ^{c,d}		CH_3CN	91	<1	<1	98/2	

^a Determined by ¹⁹F NMR.

the yield of S_N2 product 3a, and that employment of CH_3CN as a solvent afforded 3a in an excellent yield (entry 3). As far as we know, in the cases of halogenations of non-fluorinated vinyloxiranes, usually such anti vic-halohydrines as 3a were obtained while quite a few selective S_N2' -type halogenations are reported. However, to our surprise, when the reaction mixture was stirred for a long time (3 days), a further reaction occurred to furnish the desired 2a in an excellent yield (entry 4), implying that 3a is kinetically and 2a is thermodynamically favored product under the current conditions. Therefore, we conducted the reaction at higher temperature to lead a dramatic enhancement of the reaction rate (entry 5).

As an alternative method, we found that LiBr/AcOH system^{12b-d,14} was effective for the selective bromination of 1. Interestingly, although LiBr itself would not produce any product, the other diastereomer of 3a, syn vic-bromohydrine 4a was obtained as a major product under such system (entry 6). This stereochemical outcome could be accounted by the reaction going through a carbocationic intermediate.¹⁵ Furthermore, as in the case of MgBr₂·Et₂O, higher temperature produced *E*-2a as a main product (entry 7). It should be noted that 3a and 4a are relatively unstable; 20–30% of these products decomposed during purification by silica gel column chromatography.^{8a}

To assign the stereochemistries of **3a** and **4a**, independent reactions of diastereomerically pure **3a** and **4a** with NaH (3.0 equiv) were performed. The former gave **1a**, while the corresponding *cis*-substituted *gem*-difluorinated vinyloxirane **1f** was formed quantitatively in the latter case (Scheme 5). These results led us to conclude unambiguously that the *anti* isomer **3a** was obtained dominantly from the reaction with MgBr₂·Et₂O and that the selective formation of the *syn* isomer **4a** was realized by LiBr/AcOH system.

Next, we investigated the generality of the selective brominations. Since **3** and **4** are not stable enough, 8a other substrates **1b**–**e** were applied only to the S_N2' selective conditions (Table 2). Except for **1a**, bromination by $MgBr_2 \cdot Et_2O$ (method A) did not give fruitful results presumably because both epoxide carbons are activated by

^b The reaction was performed at rt for 3 days.

^c The reaction was run at 100 °C.

^d 3.0 equiv of LiBr and 2.0 equiv of AcOH were used.

Scheme 5.

Table 2. Selective S_N2' bromination of 1 with MgBr₂·Et₂O or LiBr/AcOH

Entry	Substrate	Methoda	Yield ^b (%)	Product	E/Z ^c
1	1a	A	93	2a	97/3
2		В	91		98/2
3	1b	A	28	2b	95/5
4		В	86		97/3
5	1c	A	28	2c	97/3
6		В	40		97/3
7	1d	В	96	2d	97/3
8	1e	A	22	2b	97/3
9		В	85		98/2

^a Method A: MgBr₂·Et₂O (2.0 equiv)/CH₃CN, 100 °C, 1.5 h. Method B: LiBr (3.0 equiv), AcOH (2.0 equiv)/CH₃CN, 100 °C, 1 h.

adjacent sp² system to bring about accompanying unfavorable rearrangement and regio- as well as stereorandom reactions (entries 3, 5, and 8). On the other hand, LiBr/AcOH system (method B) demonstrated superior results that excellent regio- and stereoselective bromination were realized to furnish bromodifluoromethylated allylic alcohols 2 in good to excellent yields (entries 2, 4, 7, and 9). However, the reaction of 1c resulted in poor yield even by method B (entry 6). At the first stage of these S_N2' reactions, vic-bromohydrines 3 and 4 could be formed, and following S_N2'-type bromination or rearrangement would lead to the formation of the thermodynamically favored final product 2. In the case of 1c, regionandom reaction at the first stage due to the strong cation stabilizing effect of 4-Me-C₆H₄ moiety at the benzylic epoxide carbon could decrease the yield of 2c.

To assign the olefinic stereochemistry, independent NOE experiments of 2b and c were performed. The results, in which both major isomer showed a peak correlation between the allylic H and allylic Me moiety, indicated that the E isomer was obtained predominantly in the current selective $S_{\rm N}2'$ brominations.

In summary, we found a highly regio- and stereoselective $S_N 2'$ -type bromination of *gem*-difluorinated vinyloxiranes 1 by LiBr/AcOH to afford synthetically useful bromodifluoromethylated olefines 2 with, in general, good E

selectivity. At the same time, judicious choice of reagents and reaction conditions enabled the selective formation of $S_{\rm N}2$ (either retention and inversion manner) products. Further investigations (other halogen introductions as well as the reactions with hetero-nucleophiles) are underway in our laboratory.

References and notes

- (a) Hiyama, T. Organofluorine Compounds. Chemistry and Applications; Springer: Berlin, 2000; (b) Asymmetric Fluoroorganic Chemistry; Ramachandran, P. V., Ed.; ACS Symposium Series 746; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2000; (c) Enantiocontrolled Synthesis of Fluoro-Organic Compounds; Soloshonok, V. A., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1999; (d) Kitazume, T.; Yamazaki, T. Experimental Methods in Organic Fluorine Chemistry; Gordon and Breach: Kodansya, Tokyo, 1998.
- (a) Canepa, C.; Tonachini, G. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7066–7076; (b) Yang, Z.-Y.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1037–1041; (c) Tonachini, G.; Canepa, C. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 5163–5174.
- (a) Hu, Q.-S.; Hu, C.-M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1997, 83, 87–88; (b) Tsukamoto, T.; Kitazume, T. Synlett 1992, 977–979; (c) Ishihara, T.; Miwatashi, S.; Kuroboshi, M.; Utimoto, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1069–1072; (d) Yang, Z.-Y.; Burton, D. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 1989, 44, 339–343.
- (a) Zhang, X.; Xia, H.; Dong, X.; Jin, J.; Meng, W.-D.; Qing, F.-L. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9026–9033; (b) Kirihara, M.; Takuwa, T.; Takizawa, S.; Momose, T.; Nemoto, H. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8275–8280; (c) Kirihara, M.; Takuwa, T.; Takizawa, S.; Momose, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2853–2854.
- (a) Guo, Y.; Chen, Q.-Y. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 107, 89–96; (b) Kirihara, M.; Takuwa, T.; Okumura, M.; Wakikawa, T.; Takahata, H.; Momose, T.; Takeuchi, Y.; Nemoto, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 885–888; (c) Rajaonah, M.; Rock, M. H.; Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D.; Condon, S.; Nédélec, J.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3137–3140; (d) Tellier, F.; Duffault, J.-M.; Baudry, M.; Sauvétre, R. J. Fluorine Chem. 1998, 91, 133–139; (e) Shibuya, A.; Kurishita, M.; Ago, C.; Taguchi, T. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 271–278; (f) Oui, W.; Burton, D. J. J. Fluorine Chem. 1993, 65, 143–147.
- (a) Nguyen, B. N.; Yang, Z.-Y.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2887–2891; (b) Okano, T.; Shimizu, T.; Sumida, K.; Eguchi, S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5163–5166; (c) Yu, Z.; Nguyen, B. V.; Burton, D. J. Synlett 1992, 141–142.
- (a) Peng, W.; Zhu, S. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 4395–4404; (b) Peng, W.; Zhu, S. Synlett 2003, 187–190; (c) Golubev, A. S.; Pasternak, P. V.; Shidlovskii, A. F.; Saveléva, L. N.; Averkiev, B. B.; Nesterov, V. N.; Antipin, M. Y.; Peregudov, A. S.; Chkanikov, N. D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2002, 114, 63–74; (d) Weimin, P.; Shizheng, Z.; Guifang, J. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 5781–5784; (e) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D.; Rock, M. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 6097–6100.
- (a) Tellier, F.; Sauvétre, R. J. Fluorine Chem. 1996, 76, 79–82; (b) Tellier, F.; Sauvétre, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4221–4222.
- (a) Yamazaki, T.; Ueki, H.; Kitazume, T. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 2670–2671; (b) Ueki, H.; Chiba, T.; Yamazaki, T.; Kitazume, T. *J. Org. Chem.* 2004, 69, 7616–7627.
- 10. Ueki, H.; Chiba, T.; Kitazume, T. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1367–1370.

^b Isolated yield.

^c Determined by ¹⁹F NMR.

- (a) Hurdrlik, P. F.; Misra, R. N.; Withers, G. P.; Hudrlik, A. M.; Rona, R. J.; Arcoleo, J. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1976, 1453–1456; (b) Naqvi, S. M.; Horwitz, J. P.; Filler, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1957, 79, 6283–6286; (c) House, H. O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1955, 77, 3070–3075.
- (a) Díaz, D.; Martín, T.; Martín, V. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7231–7233; (b) Blaser, A.; Reymond, J.-L. Helv. Chem. Acta 2001, 84, 2119–2130; (c) Babudri, F.; Fiandanese, V.; Marchese, G.; Punzi, A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 549–554; (d) Blaser, A.; Reymond, J.-L. Synlett 2000, 817–819; (e) Daviu, N.; Delgado, A.; Llebaria, A. Synlett 1999, 1243–1244; (f) Guo, Z.-H.; Haines, A. H.; Taylor, J. K. Synlett 1993, 607–608; (g) Andrews, G. C.; Crawford, T. C.;
- Contillo, L. G., Jr. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 3803–3806.
- 13. (a) With respect to selective S_N2' bromination of non-fluorinated vinyloxiranes, only one example by B-Br-9-BBN is reported, see reference Myers, A. G.; Siu, M. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 6397–6404; (b) Eletti-Bianchi, G.; Centini, F.; Re, L. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1648–1650.
- (a) Bartas-Yacoubou, J. M.; Maduike, N.; Kyere, S.; Doan, L.; Whalen, D. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 3781–3782;
 (b) Bajwa, J. S.; Anderson, R. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 3021–3024.
- Durham, D.; Kingsbury, C. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin. Trans. 2 1986, 923–930.